Document 1027

Filed 07/21/24

Page 1 of 14

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR

circumstances.

WHEREAS, under Civil Local Rule 11-5, counsel may withdraw from representation

below a protocol for handling attorney withdrawal and dismissal under Rule 41(b) in these

WHEREAS, under Civil Local Rule 11-5, counsel may withdraw from representation only with the Court's permission. The Court has discretion to determine whether a request to withdraw is made with good cause. In exercising this discretion, it applies California Rule of Professional Conduct 1.16(b), which lists factors warranting permissive withdrawal of counsel. Under the Rule, withdrawal is permissible where the client's conduct "renders it unreasonably difficult for the lawyer to carry out the representation effectively." Cal. Rule of Prof'l Conduct 1.16(b)(4).

WHEREAS, it is unreasonably difficult for a personal injury plaintiff's counsel to carry out the representation in this MDL if the plaintiff fails or refuses to communicate with counsel. See *Tikotzky v. Remax Real Pros*, 2020 WL 13281523, at *1 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 13, 2020); *Connally v. Cafe*, 2016 WL 1697937, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 28, 2016); *Ortiz v. Freitas*, 2015 WL 3826151, at *2 (N.D. Cal. June 18, 2015) ("Mr. Ortiz's failure to maintain regular contact with his counsel and cooperate in moving the litigation forward constitutes good cause for withdrawal."). Where both the Court and counsel have provided explicit written notice, permitting withdrawal does not cause harm to the administration of justice and will advance, rather than delay, the ultimate resolution of the plaintiff's case. See *In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Pracs., & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, 2019 WL 13268604, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 30, 2019). This may be so even if the client does not consent to the withdrawal. *Robinson v. Delgado*, 2010 WL 3259384, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2010) (noting that the "consent of the client is not dispositive").

WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) provides for the involuntary dismissal of an action when "the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). "District courts have the inherent power to control their dockets and, '[i]n the exercise of that power they may impose sanctions including, where appropriate, . . . dismissal of a case." *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation omitted). The need for vigilance in maintaining control over the Court's docket and promoting efficient conduct of

1

9

14 15

13

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

the litigation is more acute, and the Court's discretion is accordingly greater, in the context of multidistrict litigation. In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Prods. Liability Litig., 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006); see also In re Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Prods. Liab. Litig., 496 F.3d 863, 867 (8th Cir. 2007) (noting that "MDL courts must be given greater discretion to organize, coordinate and adjudicate its proceedings, including the dismissal of cases for failure to comply with its orders"). The Court has the power to dismiss an action sua sponte for failure to prosecute. Ash v. Cvetkov, 739 F.2d 493, 496 (9th Cir. 1984).

WHEREAS, when determining whether to dismiss an action for failure to prosecute, courts weigh five factors: "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." In re PPA, 460 F.3d at 1226 (citation omitted). Taking these factors into consideration, several courts in the Ninth Circuit have ruled that a plaintiff who fails or refuses to communicate with their attorneys should at least be required to show cause why their case should not be dismissed. See Williams v. County of Fresno, 2022 WL 209327 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2022) (recommending dismissal)¹; McConnell v. United States, 2021 WL 4818946 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2021) (ordering the plaintiff to show cause).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S APPROVAL:

1. If counsel for any personal injury plaintiff has lost the ability to communicate effectively with that plaintiff, counsel should move the Court for permission to withdraw pursuant to Civil Local Rule 11-5. In so moving, Plaintiff's counsel must document that his or her client has repeatedly failed to respond to counsel's communications in a manner consistent with counsel's confidentiality obligations.

¹ Findings and recommendations adopted, 2022 WL 624567 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2022).

1	2. The Court will then enter a show-cause order (attached hereto as Exhibit A)
2	directing the plaintiff to advise the court in writing within thirty-five (35) days whether he or
3	she intends to proceed with the case, either through current counsel, with substitute counsel, or
4	without counsel. The order will advise the plaintiff that if the plaintiff responds to the Court that
5	he or she no longer desires to proceed with the case, or if the plaintiff fails to respond within the
6	time provided, the plaintiff's claims will be dismissed for failure to prosecute under Fed. R. Civ.
7	P. 41(b).
8	3. Simultaneous with any motion to withdraw, the plaintiff's counsel must send to
9	the plaintiff, by any means available, written notice that counsel has sought the Court's
10	permission to withdraw because of the failure to communicate.
11	4. Plaintiff's counsel shall mail the Court's show-cause order to the plaintiff at the
12	plaintiff's home address. The plaintiff's counsel shall be required to make diligent continued
13	efforts to contact the plaintiff during the 35-day notice period. The plaintiff's counsel shall
14	promptly inform the Court if it succeeds in re-establishing communication with the plaintiff
15	during the 35-day notice period.
16	5. If the plaintiff timely responds to the show-cause order, the Court shall exercise
17	its discretion in determining whether to permit counsel's withdrawal.
18	6. If the plaintiff timely responds to the show-cause order and states that he or she
19	no longer wishes to proceed with the case, or if the plaintiff fails to respond to the show-cause
20	order, the Court shall enter an order permitting counsel's withdrawal, and shall separately enter
21	an order dismissing the plaintiff's claims.
22	
23	IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.
24	Dated: July 21, 2024

25

By: /s/ Lexi J. Hazam

26

LEXI J. HAZAM

27

28

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &

1	BERNSTEIN, LLP
	275 BATTERY STREET, 29тн FLOOR
2	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
3	Telephone: 415-956-1000 lhazam@lchb.com
	PREVIN WARREN
4	MOTLEY RICE LLC
5	401 9th Street NW Suite 630
_	Washington DC 20004
6	Telephone: 202-386-9610
7	pwarren@motleyrice.com
8	Co-Lead Counsel
9	CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
10	SEEGER WEISS, LLP
10	55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
11	Telephone: 973-639-9100
12	cseeger@seegerweiss.com
12	
13	Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
14	JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
15	ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
13	155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900
16	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
17	Telephone: 415-986-1400 jennie@andrusanderson.com
1 /	Jennie@andrusanderson.com
18	Liaison Counsel
19	
	EMILY C. JEFFCOTT
20	MORGAN & MORGAN 633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 2652
21	LOS ANGELES, CA 90071
	Telephone: 213-787-8590
22	ejeffcott@forthepeople.com
23	
	JOSEPH VANZANDT
24	BEASLEY ALLEN 234 COMMERCE STREET
25	MONTGOMERY, LA 36103
	Telephone: 334-269-2343
26	joseph.vanzandt@beasleyallen.com
27	
20	Federal/State Liaisons
28	
	STIPULATION RE WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL – 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

1	MATTHEW DED CMAN
2	MATTHEW BERGMAN GLENN DRAPER
	SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER
3	821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
4	SEATTLE, WA 98104
	Telephone: 206-741-4862
5	matt@socialmediavictims.org
6	glenn@socialmediavictims.org
	JAMES J. BILSBORROW
7	WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
8	700 BROADWAY
0	NEW YORK, NY 10003
9	Telephone: 212-558-5500
	jbilsborrow@weitzlux.com
10	
11	JAYNE CONROY SIMMONS HANLY CONDOY, LLC
ا دا	SIMMONS HANLY CONROY, LLC 112 MADISON AVE, 7TH FLOOR
12	NEW YORK, NY 10016
13	Telephone: 917-882-5522
	jconroy@simmonsfirm.com
14	
15	ANDRE MURA
	GIBBS LAW GROUP, LLP
16	1111 BROADWAY, SUITE 2100
17	OAKLAND, CA 94607 Telephone: 510-350-9717
,	amm@classlawgroup.com
18	umm@etassia.vgroup.com
19	ALEXANDRA WALSH
	WALSH LAW
20	1050 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 500
21	Washington D.C. 20036 Telephone: 202-780-3014
	awalsh@alexwalshlaw.com
22	awaish@aexwaishaw.com
23	MICHAEL M. WEINKOWITZ
23	LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN, LLP
24	510 WALNUT STREET
,	SUITE 500
25	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106
26	Telephone: 215-592-1500
,	mweinkowitz@lfsbalw.com
27	Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Leadership
28	-6-
	STIPULATION RE WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL – 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

1	
	RON AUSTIN
2	RON AUSTIN LAW
3	400 MANHATTAN BLVD.
	HARVEY, LA 70058 Telephone: 504-227–8100
4	raustin@ronaustinlaw.com
5	
6	PAIGE BOLDT
6	WALSH LAW
7	4 Dominion Drive, Bldg. 3, Suite 100 San Antonio, TX 78257
8	Telephone: 210-448-0500
8	PBoldt@alexwalshlaw.com
9	
10	THOMAS P. CARTMELL WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL LLP
	4740 Grand Avenue, Suite 300
11	Kansas City, MO 64112
12	Telephone: 816-701-1100
	tcartmell@wcllp.com
13	
14	SARAH EMERY HENDY JOHNSON VAUGHN EMERY PSC
	600 WEST MAIN STREET, SUITE 100
15	LOUISVILLE, KY 40202
16	Telephone: 859-600-6725
	semery@justicestartshere.com
17	CARRIE COL DREDC
18	CARRIE GOLDBERG C.A. GOLDBERG, PLLC
10	16 Court St.
19	Brooklyn, NY 11241
20	Telephone: 646-666-8908
21	carrie@cagoldberglaw.com
21	RONALD E. JOHNSON, JR.
22	HENDY JOHNSON VAUGHN EMERY PSC
23	600 WEST MAIN STREET, SUITE 100
	LOUISVILLE, KY 40202
24	Telephone: 859-578-4444
25	rjohnson@justicestartshere.com
	SIN-TING MARY LIU
26	AYLSTOCK WITKIN KREIS &
27	OVERHOLTZ, PLLC
	17 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 200
28	
	STIPLII ATION RE WITHDRAWAL OF COLINSEL = 4.22-MD-03047-YGR

1 2	PENSACOLA, FL 32502 Telephone: 510-698-9566 mliu@awkolaw.com
	iiiiu@awkoiaw.com
3 4	JAMES MARSH MARSH LAW FIRM PLLC 31 HUDSON YARDS, 11TH FLOOR
5	NEW YORK, NY 10001-2170 Telephone: 212-372-3030
6	jamesmarsh@marshlaw.com
7	JOSEPH E. MELTER KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK
8	LLP 280 KING OF PRUSSIA ROAD
10	RADNOR, PA 19087 Telephone: 610-667-7706
11	jmeltzer@ktmc.com
12	HILLARY NAPPI
13	HACH & ROSE LLP 112 Madison Avenue, 10th Floor
14	New York, New York 10016 Telephone: 212-213-8311
15	hnappi@hrsclaw.com
16	EMMIE PAULOS LEVIN PAPANTONIO RAFFERTY
17	316 SOUTH BAYLEN STREET, SUITE 600
18	PENSACOLA, FL 32502 Telephone: 850-435-7107
19	epaulos@levinlaw.com
20	RUTH THI RIZKALLA
21	THE CARLSON LAW FIRM, PC 1500 ROSECRANS AVE., STE. 500
22	MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266
23	Telephone: 415-308-1915 rrizkalla@carlsonattorneys.com
	DOLAND TELLIC
24	ROLAND TELLIS DAVID FERNANDES
25	BARON & BUDD, P.C. 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1600
26	Encino, CA 91436
27	Telephone: 818-839-2333 rtellis@baronbudd.com
28	-8-
	Compared to the William Province of Compared A 22 and 02047 WOD

Document 1027

Page 9 of 14

Filed 07/21/24

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR

1	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
2	By: <u>/s/ Ashley M. Simonsen</u>
3	Ashley M. Simonsen, SBN 275203
	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067
5	Telephone: (424) 332-4800
6	Facsimile: +1 (424) 332-4749
0	Email: asimonsen@cov.com
7	Phyllis A. Jones, pro hac vice
8	Paul W. Schmidt, pro hac vice
	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
9	One City Center 850 Tenth Street, NW
10	Washington, DC 20001-4956
11	Telephone: + 1 (202) 662-6000
	Facsimile: + 1 (202) 662-6291
12	Email: pajones@cov.com
13	Attorney for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.
14	f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Facebook Holdings,
14	LLC; Facebook Operations, LLC; Facebook
15	Payments, Inc.; Facebook Technologies, LLC; Instagram, LLC; Siculus, Inc.; and Mark Elliot
16	Zuckerberg
17	
17	FAEGRE DRINKER LLP By: /s/ Andrea Roberts Pierson
18	Andrea Roberts Pierson, pro hac vice
19	FAEGRE DRINKER LLP
	300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2500
20	Indianapolis, IN 46204 Telephone: + 1 (317) 237-0300
21	Facsimile: +1 (317) 237-1000
22	Email: andrea.pierson@faegredrinker.com
22	Email: amy.fiterman @faegredrinker.com
23	Amy R. Fiterman, <i>pro hac vice</i>
24	FAEGRE DRINKER LLP
25	2200 Wells Fargo Center
25	90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402
26	Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: +1 (612) 766-7768
27	Facsimile: +1 (612) 766-1600
	Email: amy.fiterman@faegredrinker.com
28	-10- STIBLILATION DE WITHIDBAWAL OF COUNSEL 4:22 MD 03047 VGP

1	
	Geoffrey Drake, pro hac vice
2	KING & SPALDING LLP
3	1180 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1600
	Atlanta, GA 30309 Tel.: 404-572-4600
4	Email: gdrake@kslaw.com
5	Email: gdtake@kstaw.com Email: dmattern@kslaw.com
6	David Mattern, pro ha vice
7	KING & SPALDING LLP
	1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900
8	Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: +1 (202) 626-2946
9	Email: dmattern@kslaw.com
10	Attorneys for Defendants TikTok Inc. and
11	ByteDance Inc.
	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSEN LLP
12	By: /s/ Jonathan H. Blavin
13	Jonathan H. Blavin, SBN 230269
	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
14	560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
15	San Francisco, CA 94105-3089
	Telephone: (415) 512-4000
16	Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Email: jonathan.blavin@mto.com
17	Email: Johannan: Januarian (Januarian)
1.0	Rose L. Ehler (SBN 29652)
18	Victoria A. Degtyareva (SBN 284199)
19	Laura M. Lopez, (SBN 313450)
20	Ariel T. Teshuva (SBN 324238) MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
20	350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
21	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3426
22	Telephone: (213) 683-9100
22	Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
23	Email: rose.ehler@mto.com
_,	Email: victoria.degtyareva@mto.com
24	Email: Ariel.Teshuva@mto.com
25	Lauren A. Bell (pro hac vice)
26	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
26	601 Massachusetts Ave., NW St.,
27	Suite 500 E
28	Washington, D.C. 20001-5369
40	-11- STIPULATION RE WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL – 4:22-MD-03047-YGR
	5 IIFULATION RE WITHDKAWAL OF COUNSEL — 4,22-MD-0304 / - I UK

1	Telephone: (202) 220-1100
2	Facsimile: (202) 220-2300 Email: lauren.bell@mto.com
3	
4	Attorneys for Defendant Snap Inc.
	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
5	Professional Corporation By: /s/ Brian M. Willen
6	Brian M. Willen (<i>pro hac vice</i>) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
7	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
8	New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 999-5800
9	Facsimile: (212) 999-5899
10	Email: bwillen@wsgr.com
11	Lauren Gallo White (SBN 309075)
12	Samantha A. Machock (SBN 298852) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
13	One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105
	Telephone: (415) 947-2000
14	Facsimile: (415) 947-2099 Email: lwhite@wsgr.com
15	Email: smachock@wsgr.com
16	Christopher Chiou (SBN 233587)
17	Matthew K. Donohue (SBN 302144) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
18	953 East Third Street, Suite 100
19	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (323) 210-2900
20	Facsimile: (866) 974-7329
21	Email: cchiou@wsgr.com Email: mdonohue@wsgr.com
22	
23	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC
	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
24	By: /s/ Joseph G. Petrosinelli
25	Joseph G. Petrosinelli (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jpetrosinelli@wc.com
26	Ashley W. Hardin (pro hac vice)
27	ahardin@wc.com 680 Maine Avenue, SW
28	-12-
	STIPULATION RE WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL – 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

ATTESTATION I, Lexi J. Hazam, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 5-1, that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto. Dated: July 21, 2024 By: /s/Lexi J. Hazam -14-

3049721.2